



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/425,742	10/22/1999	KARL THEODOR KRAEMER	DEAV1998/L071 US NP	9957
22852 7590 08/04/2009 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EXAMINER YU, GINA C	
			ART UNIT 1611	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 08/04/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/425,742	Applicant(s) KRAEMER ET AL.	
	Examiner GINA C. YU	Art Unit 1611	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on July 22 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23, 28, 29 and 39-44 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3, 9 and 41-44 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-8, 10-23, 28, 29, 39, and 40 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 22, 2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 22, 23, 28, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The new claim limitation on the at least one physiologically tolerated solvent recites, "wherein the solvent evaporates after application of the said composition to a skin surface". Although applicant indicates in the remarks that the support for this new limitation is found on specification p. 9, second paragraph, that passage discloses no such description of applicant's solvent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 11-14, 22, 23, 28, 29, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gaillard-Kelly (US 5411981 A) ("Gaillard") in view of Partain (US 4946870), Smith (US 5658559), and Mouglin et al. (US 5753215 A) and Cremophor RH 40 Technical Information (1997).

Gaillard teaches that the phenylimidazolidines of instant formula I have anti-androgenic activity and are used in pharmaceutical compositions including creams, pomades, and lotions. See col. 9, lines 29 – 36. Example 96 teaches 4-[3-(4-hydroxybutyl)-2,5-dioxo-1-imidazolidinyl]-2-(trifluoromethyl)benzonitrile. See instant claim 4. The reference teaches that the compositions useful for treatment of acne and androgenic alopecia, among others. See col. 9, lines 43 – 55. The reference specifically teaches that the compositions are “useful in dermatology” and can be used with other anti-acne components such as retinol or with a product stimulating the growth of hair such as Minoxidil (6-amino-4-(4-piperidino-1, 2-dihydro-1-hydroxy-2-iminopyridimidine) for the treatment of alopecia. See col. 9, lines 56 – 65. See instant claims 11, 13, and 23. Gaillard further teaches adding to the composition 5 alpha-reductase inhibitor, which meets instant claims 16 and 17. See col. 9, lines 56 – 61.

Partain teaches a topical film-forming composition for delivering pharmaceutical actives with controlled release. The reference teaches that the composition is useful as a delivery system for single or combination of pharmaceutical active agents, including

Art Unit: 1611

anti-acne agents (retinoic acid and benzoyl peroxide) and anti-alopecia agents (Minoxidil). See col. 9, lines 15 –16; Examples 1, 15, and 18. See instant claims 22, 23, 28, and 29. Partain also teaches using the delivery system for either single or combination of pharmaceutical agents. Particularly mentioned pharmaceutical actives are diazoxide, nifedipine and diltiazem; angiotensins (captopril). See col. 8, lines 55 – 58; col. 9, line 2; instant claims 11-14. The reference teaches that chitosan derivatives are useful film formers and topically applied in the form of lotion, solution, cream, etc. See col. 3, lines 28 – 52. The polymer is said to readily form a film and “acts as a reservoir to continuously deliver the actives as well as protect the tissue from further injury or insult”, which negates the need of hair cover. The reference goes on to teach that the film gives uniform distribution of the active on the tissue and prevents the migration or loss of the active from the site of application, and helps to control the dosage at a constant level. The reference also teaches using solvents such as ethanol or and glycerin with the chitosan film-forming agent. See col. 9, line 58 –66; col. 10, lines 10-17; Example 14; instant claim 8.

Although Partain provides the general teaching of using a film-forming agent to formulate a controlled-release delivery system for anti-acne agents and anti-alopecia agents, either alone or in combination with other pharmaceutical agents, the reference does not mention the specific type of the film-forming polymer which the present applicant has elected for the prosecution.

Smith also teaches a film-forming lotion composition which forms barrier on the surface of the skin to prevent evaporative loss of moisture from the skin, and protects

Art Unit: 1611

the skin from environmental irritants. The reference teaches polyquaternary polyvinylpyrrolidone such as polyquaternium-16 (polyvinylpyrrolidone/imidazolinium methochloride copolymers). See instant claim 40. Isopropanol is used as a solvent to dissolve pharmaceutical actives. See examples I and II; instant claim 8. The therapeutic agents include anti-acne actives including benzoyl peroxide and vitamin A. See col. 5, lines 1-6.

The references fail to teach the specific type of the elected plasticizer, polyoxyethylated hydrogenated castor oil.

Mougin teaches film-forming compositions for topical use. The reference teaches adding a plasticizing agent to the solution of the organic solvent in a proportion between 5-40% by weight relative to the weight of the film-forming polymer, for the purpose of improving the cosmetic and mechanical properties. See col. 5, lines 46 – col. 6, line 22. POE hydrogenated castor oil is among the possible hydrophilic plasticizing agents. See also Example 15. The reference also indicates that isopropanol, which is used in Smith, is a volatile solvent. See col. 4, line 58.

Cremophor RH 40 Technical Information (Cremophor) teaches that POE hydrogenated castor oil is skin compatible and solubilizes hydrophobic pharmaceuticals including vitamin A (retinoic acid). See Solubilization. The reference teaches that the product forms clear solutions in water and ethanol with fatty acids and fatty alcohols. See Solubility.

Partain and Smith would have obviously motivated one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the present invention to modify the teaching of Gaillard and formulate the

Art Unit: 1611

active ingredients in a controlled-release composition because (a) Partain teaches that a film-forming composition “acts as a reservoir to continuously and uniformly deliver the actives as well as protect the tissue from further injury or insult, which negates the need of hair cover, and controls the dosage at a constant level; (b) Smith also teaches a film-forming formulation which provides controlled-release of the actives while protecting the skin and prevent loss of moisture of the skin. The skilled artisan would have had a reasonable expectation of successfully producing a stable and effective film-forming lotion which is useful for treating acne or alopecia, and delivering the active agents in a controlled, constant dosage, while protecting the application site.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the composition of the combined references by adding to the composition plasticizers such as POE hydrogenated castor oil as motivated by Mougin and Cremophor because (a) Mougin teaches adding a plasticizer improves the cosmetic and mechanical properties of a film-forming topical compositions; and (b) Cremophor teaches that POE hydrogenated castor oil is a well known solubilizer in pharmaceutical/cosmetic art, which solubilizes hydrophobic pharmaceutical agents to form a clear solution. The skilled artisan would have had a reasonable expectation of successfully producing a stable, clear film-forming composition comprising the compound of instant formula (I) which provide improved cosmetic and mechanical properties.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gaillard, Partain, Smith, Mougin and Cremophor as applied to claims 1, 2, 4-8, 11-14, 22, 23, 28, 29, and 40 as above, and further in view of Ismail (US 5541220).

The combined references fail to teach methylxanthine compounds.

Ismail teach agents for the treatment protection of the skin. Exemplified is a capsule that can treat alopecia, which comprises pentoxifylin, vitamin E, and other ingredients.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the composition of the combined references by adding pentoxifylin to the composition of the combined references as motivated by Ismail because a) Gaillard and Ismail are directed to treating alopecia; and b) Ismail teach pentoxifyline as increasing blood circulation which is used in an alopecia treatment composition. The skilled artisan would have had a reasonable expectation of successfully producing an alopecia treatment composition which increases blood circulation and aids circulating the active agents of the composition through the body.

Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gaillard, Partain, Smith, Mougin and Cremophor as applied to claims 1, 2, 4-8, 11-14, 22, 23, 28, 29, and 40 as above, and further in view of Gaetani et al. (EP 0427625 A).

Gaillard teaches to combine phenylimidazolidines with a product stimulating the growth of hair for the treatment of alopecia. See col. 9, lines 55 – 65. The reference fails to teach 2,4-diamino-6-butoxy-3-sulfopyrimidine hydroxide.

Gaetani teaches internal salts of 2,4-diamino-6-alkoxy-3-sulfoxypyridimine hydroxide for combating hair loss and inducing/stimulating hair growth. See abstract. Specifically disclosed is 2,4-diamino-6-butoxy-3-sulfoxypyridimidine hydroxide. See Example de composition 2 and 3.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the composition of the combined references by adding 2,4-diamino-6-butoxy-3-sulfoxypyrimidine hydroxide to the composition, as motivated by Gaetani because (a) both Gaillard and Gaetani are directed toward combating hair loss; and (b) Gaillard teaches to combine phenylimidazolidines with hair growth stimulating agents to make an anti-alopecia composition. The skilled artisan would have had a reasonable expectation of successfully producing an improved anti-alopecia composition which combats hair loss and promotes hair growth.

Claims 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gaillard, Partain, Smith, Mougin and Cremophor as applied to claims 1, 2, 4-8, 11-14, 22, 23, 28, 29, and 40 as above, and further in view of applicants' own disclosure and Hocquaux et al. (WO 92/21317).

Gaillard teaches to combine phenylimidazolidines with a product which stimulates the growth of hair for the treatment of alopecia. See col. 9, lines 55 – 65. The combined references fail to teach 2, 6-diamono-4-piperidinopyridine.

Hocquaux ('317) teaches compositions containing a pyridine-1-oxide compound for combating hair loss and inducing/stimulating hair growth. See '701, abstract. 2,6-

Art Unit: 1611

diamino 4-peperdinopyridine 1-oxide is disclosed in Example 1. See instant claims 18 and 20.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the composition of the combined references by adding 2,6-diamino 4-peperdinopyridine 1-oxide to the composition because (a) both Gaillard and Hocquaux are directed toward combating hair loss; and (b) Gaillard teaches to combine phenylimidazolidines with other hair growth stimulating agents to make an anti-alopecia composition. The skilled artisan would have had a reasonable expectation of successfully producing an improved anti-alopecia composition which combats hair loss and promotes hair growth.

Claims 18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gaillard, Partain, Smith, Mougin and Cremophor as applied to claims 1, 2, 4-8, 11-14, 22, 23, 28, 29, and 40 as above, and further in view of Hocquaux et al. (WO 91/19701).

Gaillard teaches to combine phenylimidazolidines with a product stimulating the growth of hair for the treatment of alopecia. See col. 9, lines 55 – 65. The combined references fail to teach 2,6-diamino-4-butoxy-1,3,5-triazine 1-oxide.

Hocquaux ('701) teaches compositions containing 2, 6-diamino-1,3,5-triazine derivatives for combating hair loss and inducing/stimulating hair growth. See abstract. 2,6-diamino-4-butoxy-1,3,5-triazine 1-oxide is disclosed in Examples.

Art Unit: 1611

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the composition of the combined references by adding 2,6-diamino-4-butoxy-1,3,5-triazine 1-oxide to the composition because (a) both Gaillard and Hocquaux are directed toward combating hair loss; and (b) Gaillard teaches to combine phenylimidazolidines with other hair growth stimulating agents to make an anti-alopecia composition. The skilled artisan would have had a reasonable expectation of successfully producing an improved anti-alopecia composition which combats hair loss and promotes hair growth.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GINA C. YU whose telephone number is (571)272-8605. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, from 8:00AM until 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sharmila Landau can be reached on 571-272-0614. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gina C. Yu/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1611